|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Section** | **Maximum Score** |
| **1. Relevance of the project** | **30 points** |
| * 1. Does the project described in the proposal meet the minimum criteria for CSCF projects, namely:
* It contributes to achievement of the CSCF objective
* It takes place mainly in the 7 municipalities of Mitrovica Region
* It demonstrates catalytic effect, in that it promotes broader or longer-term effects advancing the objective of the CSCF
 | 10 points |
| 1.2 Does the project described in the proposal contribute to achievement of one or more of the following 5 priority actions:* Mobilizing the public to engage collectively with issues relevant to local governance
* Organizing informal and formal state-citizen dialogues involving local government, civil society, and the public
* Monitoring and reporting on the performance of local government, including policy and decision-making processes, implementation of legislation, and management of public resources
* Conducting local policy research and analysis, formulation, implementation, and impact assessment processes
* Developing specific citizen participation and accountability measures in partnership with municipalities
 | 10 points |
| 1.3 Does the project described in the proposal meet one or more of the following additional criteria:* Promotion of north-north and / or north-south cooperation, or of inter-ethnic social cohesion, by integrating cross-community contact and confidence-building into aims and activities
* Innovation, measured in the development of concepts, products and processes which are either new in absolute terms or a novelty in their application and adaptation to a specific context in northern Kosovo
* Advancement of women’s equality and empowerment, and application of gender analysis and / or use of gender-disaggregated data
* Development or implementation in partnership with municipal government(s)
 | 5 points |
| **2. Design of the project** | **20 points** |
| 2.1. How coherent is the overall design of the project? In particular, does it reflect the analysis of the problems involved, take into account external factors and relevant stakeholders? | 10 points |
| 2.2. Is the project feasible and consistent in relation to the objectives and expected results? | 10 points |
| **Maximum total score** | **50** |

# **ANNEX B: EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR CONCEPT NOTES**

The evaluation criteria are divided into sections and subsections. Each subsection will be given a score between 1 and 10 (1 = very poor, 5 = adequate, 10 = very good) or between 1 and 5 (1 = very poor, 3 = adequate, 5 = very good).

Notes:

* If the total score for subsection 1.1 (minimum criteria) is less than 5 points, the application will be rejected.
* Concept notes with a score lower than 30 will be rejected.
* Concept notes that reach the above threshold will be ranked by score. The highest scoring applications will be pre-selected until the limit of 200% of the available budget for theround is reached.
* Lead applicants will receive a letter indicating their respective results.